

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,729	11/30/2000	Hideki Kinugawa	199202US2PCT 1310	
22850	7590 03/12/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/700,729

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Robert E Pezzuto

Art Unit **3671**

Kinugawa et al

		11.05011 2 1 022010				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address			
A SHI THE M - Exten aff - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C Iter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic Iter period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days Iter considered timely. Iter period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Immunication. Iter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Iter period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Iter period for reply will be period for reply will, by Iter period for reply will be p	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, a cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 10, 2</u>	2002	<u></u> .			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.			
9) 🗆	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	-	·			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		_			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam		b)∐ disapproved.			
13)⊠ a)⊠	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) we been received. we been received in Application Notice to the discount of	No			
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).			
Attachm	ent(s)	_				
7	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Uther:						

Art Unit: 3671

2.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinugawa et al.'811 (Kinugawa) in view of Ullmann '733. Kinugawa discloses a totally electrically driven earthworking device having a battery setup 2, electric motor 3 and hydraulic pump 4. Further, Kinugawa discloses various controls necessary to measure oil pressure levels and charge levels (figures 3 and 4 and column 4, lines 37-49) for effective operation of the device but fails to show an on-board engine used to recharge the electric battery or drive the electric components. However, Ullmann clearly teaches that it is well known to employ an engine 19 in concert with a power generator 20 to drive desired electrical mechanisms. Further, the control of and monitoring of such an engine to recharge the battery as well as monitor battery level is so well known (i.e., the voltage regulation system of any modern car) as to be an obvious choice of design. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Kinugawa with the teachings of Ullmann in order to provide an earthworking machine having greater operational range.

Application/Control Number: 09/700729

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

Page 3

- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Pezzuto at telephone number (703) 308-1012. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.
- 4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will, can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597/8

March 6, 2002
